

# Board Paper PCT [PART TWO]

## Hampshire Primary Care Trust Update on Gosport War Memorial Hospital Investigations

### Executive Summary:

#### BACKGROUND

Between 1998 and 2001, Hampshire Constabulary undertook investigations into the potential unlawful killing of a patient at Gosport War Memorial Hospital. These investigations did not result in any criminal prosecutions, but the Police did have sufficient concerns about the care of older people at Gosport War Memorial Hospital [GWMH] that they decided to share them with the then Commission for Health Improvement [CHI] (a fore-runner of the Healthcare Commission) in August 2001. These concerns centred on the use of some medicines, particularly analgesia and levels of sedation, and the culture in which care was provided for older people at the Hospital.

In October 2001 CHI commenced an investigation into the management, provision and quality of healthcare for which Portsmouth Healthcare NHS Trust (the predecessor of Fareham and Gosport PCT and hence Hampshire PCT) was the body responsible for Gosport War Memorial Hospital at the time.

The Board is asked to note, however, that on 1 October 2006, responsibility for the provision of inpatient care at GWMH transferred to Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust.

CHI concluded that there had been a failure of Trust systems to ensure good quality patient care, including insufficient local prescribing guidelines, lack of a rigorous, routine review of pharmacy data, and the absence of adequate trust-wide supervision and appraisal systems.

CHI also concluded, however, that the Trust had addressed these issues and had adequate policies and guidelines in place which were being adhered to governing the prescription and administration of pain relieving medicines to older patients.

The publicity accompanying the announcement of the findings of the CHI investigation prompted a number of relatives of patients who had died at GWMH to contact the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Strategic Health Authority regarding the care and treatment of their relatives between 1998 and 2001. These contacts, allied to the findings of the CHI investigation, resulted in the Police, in September 2002, initiating another investigation into the deaths of 92 patients at GWMH.

The focus of the Police investigation centred on both organisational failings (relating to inadequate systems and procedures) and the actions of a number of clinicians. Specifically, the investigation has considered the practice of Dr Jane Barton, a local GP and Clinical Assistant within GWMH, and a number of the nursing and other staff at the Hospital. In the light of the Police investigation, Dr Barton agreed to voluntary restrictions to practice, which are ongoing.

## **OUTCOME OF THE POLICE INVESTIGATION**

A total of 92 cases have been examined by the Police Investigation Team since September 2002. Investigations into a significant proportion of the cases (82) ceased at a relatively early stage on the basis that there was insufficient evidence to justify further criminal investigation.

The remainder were passed to the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) for review once the Police investigation was completed. The CPS concluded that negligence could not be proven to the high criminal standard and that there was no realistic prospect of conviction of healthcare staff.

It is understood that following the CPS' decision, the Police met with the General Medical Council [GMC], the Nursing and Midwifery Council [NMC] and H.M. Coroner to determine whether general 'standard of care' issues in respect of the deaths require further examination. The Police, however, reiterated that their investigation was now closed.

## **CURRENT SITUATION**

### **Coroner**

Following the meeting with the Police and representations from families of the deceased, the Coroner met with the Minister of Justice, the Department of Health and the Assistant Chief Constable to discuss the potential of opening inquests on 10 cases. The outcome of this meeting, held during the Autumn of 2007, is not yet known.

Coroners are required to inquire into deaths reported to them, which appear to be violent, unnatural, or of sudden and unknown cause. The Coroner will seek to establish the medical cause of a death. If the cause remains in doubt after a post mortem, an inquest will be held. An inquest is an inquiry into who has died and how, when and where and in what circumstances the death occurred, the latter being an addition introduced more recently. An inquest is not a trial and does not apportion blame for a death. Possible verdicts include: natural causes, accident, suicide, unlawful or lawful killing, industrial disease and open verdicts (where there is insufficient evidence for any other verdict) or if death is as a result of neglect. The Coroner may bring a narrative verdict, in which case additional text will be included in the verdict.

If Inquests do take place in this case they are likely to run concurrently, and witnesses would probably be called once. There is no indication of timescales but if held, the inquests could take place over 2-3 weeks. A task group has been formed in preparation for action the PCT will need to take should Inquests be held.

### **General Medical Council and Nursing and Midwifery Council**

The Police forwarded papers in respect of 14 cases to the GMC and the NMC. Until the completion of the Police investigation, neither organisation was able to consider any of the referrals they had received for fear of prejudicing the police investigation.

In December 2007, solicitors acting for the GMC contacted the PCT requesting contact details for a number of clinical and other staff members involved in caring for some of patients involved in the Police investigations. These details are being verified by current employers prior to release to the solicitors early in January 2008.

It is understood that the GMC intend to hold a case against Dr Jane Barton which has been provisionally scheduled for September/October 2008. All aspects of the GMC case are currently embargoed and details of any charges to be answered by Dr Barton are not available and are not expected to be released until the day the case is opened. Dr Barton continues to be supported by her professional body.

The Solicitors acting for the GMC will be reviewing witness statements and may wish to take further statements from some clinical and other staff. Staff will be supported through this process and where applicable current employers have been informed. Staff involved will be contacted by the PCT, via the HR Department. Support mechanisms previously in place will continue. The task group outlined above will also take the GMC issues forward.

No contact has made by the NMC to the PCT to date.

**Actions Requested:**

The Board is asked to:

- Note the updated position.

**Aims Supported by this Paper:**

To ensure the development of good governance for the Hampshire Primary Care Trust

**Author(s):**

Elaine Williams, Legal Services Manager

**Lead Director:**

Richard Samuel, Director of Corporate Affairs

**Date:**

8 January 2008