

9/4/13 - 12/4/13  
RICHARDS

# tooks

PORTSMOUTH COLONER

GLADYS RICHARDS  
(DECEASED)

2

9/4/13 - 14/4/13



JAMES MERRIAN

**Tooks Chambers**  
**Chambers of Michael Mansfield QC & Patrick Roche**  
81 Farringdon Street  
London  
EC4A 4BL

**Code A**

DX: 68 Chancery Lane  
Email: clerks@tooks.co.uk  
Website: www.tooks.co.uk

9/4/13

It's non-combat about whether she is in pain.  
 Discharged stable & no stabiliz-  
 ing work involved.

Happy for nurses to witness death. - 11/8/98

Don't know why it was done.

Idea might have been an administrative thing to allow  
 nurses to

Most extraordinary.

It was on 11/8 meant I expect her to die in the next

May have been written in records at every point.

I saw a lot of these files 10 yrs ago

May had the phrase in.

Passive Euthanasia.

I think you would note why you were going to Pall Care - Summary.

Or went for re-habilitation in a way,

since

↳ might

Summary

- 2 separate issues

- 1 - whether a person is in phase of dying → Pall Care

- 2 - form of pall care (PC)

Oral morphine is perfectly acceptable for (PC) until such time  
 until they can't take it.

Nothing is saying they change from oramorph to SC diamorph

Prof Black - emerge with PF on drugs

BNF for step 97 - oral morph 10mg = 20mg diamorphine.

so only from 10mg/whr 60mg/day oramorph  
= 30 mg diamorph.

But see Maudsley Prod 30

That advice was available to Dr B in 98.

Re-assessment

- would expect it to take place.
- difference between dose you need to give benefit & cause harm is a thin line.
- In dying patients you can accept some adverse effects.  
You don't know what it is you > double the  
dose than necessary for pain relief.

Mr R wasn't responding

→ you can reduce infusion rate.

More usual to start w/ low dose & ↑ steadily

20mg in 24hr

↑ 30 then 40.

going straight

I would not murder it that way

Self-fulfilling prophecy.

she says eating, drinking, passing urine

Doubt of dose - still w/in range just acceptable.

Prof B - appropriate.

Not then illogical to prescribe 40mg having noted.

when giving diamorphine

Had there been explanations in notes like earlier for example.

Real decision is whether someone is dying only thing you can do

is

problem - she gave a high dose

Syringe driver - substantially less reversible than orally.

- sedation is going to be continuous rather than intermittent

- marks a step toward terminal care.

### SWALLOWING

- R why more to SC diamorphine.

simple note "no longer able to swallow."

Bring up of water.

- generally no need for SD.

### SIPP REST.

para 12 PRN - pro re nata - as and when.

- nurse gives drugs and when

- range from that is just acceptable -

- allowing people who may not have having

What is sub-optimal?

~~sub optimal~~ - negligence is given with an opportunity to give full care  
incomes from legal initial care

- why not possible to make a specific due & increase it if required.

If Dr negligently possibly sending nurse on to negligent.

Consultant has ultimate responsibility for actions of SRs.

supp root.

- para 11 - no reduction.

- why not negligent?

Part B (a) & (b) - no direct jurisdiction present in reality.

clearly not a no clinical judgment in any event.

D - B may have been content of direct 'negligence'.

- included in case

with action would 18 neg?

would part on parenting (400 mg over 2 & 1/2 hrs)

the head but point

1 world - 1 and no plus

- your small date of damage.

Not considered in terms of neg.

If a BPD that the opioid increased the chance of drug use  
she did.

1 can't say adequately it led to her death.  
Bop if did.

2/4/13

3iv - Is there anything remarkable about

- on first transfer

S62 - written on 11/8/98 - so - 200 mg

S66 - 40 - 200 mg

200mg - is still a very large dose.

- could be called a "potentially lethal dose".

### Death Record.

- Over simplification?

- opioid small a contribution.

- should be included.

Difficulty in PC one involves dual effect of morphine

3iv as opioid contributing to bradycardia & MI occurring.

~~No indication~~

sedation otherwise dead by coughing & deep breaths build up a

bottom of lungs & lungs are not enough to prevent

sedation.

Whether 8-10 - might have died at any time.

- but description was in pain & not able to sleep.

but after 8 hrs of discomfort.

important is, after 8 hrs he was sedated so the  
couldn't.

3iv - could rely on transient risk between drug regimes to  
change in GR's condition.

9/4/13

Who is negligent to no R.  
Only a decision to give PL was not made or reasonable growth  
→ was it or all papers in delay  
Sw - Not seen report from Post Food & Eng Lab

Prof - GMC

Sw - Any species is a laboratory?

Prof - my name been on a large report.

- maintenance - not requiring operation.

- only letters it - my pets or something with - kept etc.

- My tentain is much below the 10 not enough

left in go around.

- Penicillin - failed animal test. 4 ↓ 0.

- brain not working.

- have been down in w/ Tazobactam & hydrocortisone.

- thought it was brighter after leaving HH (first time).

Sw - you may be passed a lawsuit - refers to Prof B.

- drugs more different or a difference?

Prof - GPs like experimenting with street.

Nothing specific recorded & suggest they see with die in last drug not early  
August

Sw - anything to say on Zinobactam or Moxifloxacin?

- vein is more than quinine.

- would have been advised now.

# INQUEST

Coroner's examination of GM.

- Falls at GM.

- what injuries - they thought would be broken her nose.

- 17 falls in 6 mths - Mar 01. Hospitalized & Trauma at GM.

- 30/7/98 - Fall - 1 week - Mar.

- I understand she was moved, pulled & put in a chair.

↳ Fall at lunchtime, pulled up - don't know who moved her.

Not sure who exposed her.

I didn't know that night. Niece told me.

~~Why didn't go - what she would survive?~~

she was 91.

- to her the surgery.

- fall to go to hospital.

Not operative. - I ~~was~~ was amazed in fact she would not give up.

She only had dementia on day she knew operation.

She was later on co-ordinator.

no particular note discharged to court. (2)

Why did they stop giving her diazepam.

I suggested it to them.

She was not about then 3-4 mths earlier.

She reviewed all conversations re:

I don't believe no one could hear her 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 - 22 - 23 - 24 - 25 - 26 - 27 - 28 - 29 - 30 - 31 - 32 - 33 - 34 - 35 - 36 - 37 - 38 - 39 - 40 - 41 - 42 - 43 - 44 - 45 - 46 - 47 - 48 - 49 - 50 - 51 - 52 - 53 - 54 - 55 - 56 - 57 - 58 - 59 - 60 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 64 - 65 - 66 - 67 - 68 - 69 - 70 - 71 - 72 - 73 - 74 - 75 - 76 - 77 - 78 - 79 - 80 - 81 - 82 - 83 - 84 - 85 - 86 - 87 - 88 - 89 - 90 - 91 - 92 - 93 - 94 - 95 - 96 - 97 - 98 - 99 - 100

Q44

Q44

9/4/13



4/4/13

GM - They picked her up & put her in a 'safety' chair?

Q for PB

C - Having heard she'd fallen at G7 when did you see her?

GM - She went in <sup>initially</sup> on 29

From there all she was discharged.

- I was not aware there was a policy ~~not~~ for her falls to sedate them and x-ray them next day.

C - Did you see GK at G7 - PB was there talking to my sister.

- asked my sister had it visited see be x-rayed.

- I made my views known.

- How did GK progress at MH - came round next morning 0100.

- kept on a drip for a time.

- very dehydrated

- stopped here while she was in MH. - yes.

Returned for transfer to G7 - I knew she was going back / said I would see her back to G7.

- she was already in bed.

- I never saw a patchside at G7.

How did they get the sheet out, when they removed it?

They roll her off sheet. onto it top. then cross

- How did you come to know of SD.

on Tue 18.

JB - should be set to discuss about to be covered.

- lost her coat.

she is my patient. I will decide. Her mother has been

through enough trauma, we will not discuss

Q for JB

Then we next PB

9/4/13

- we saw PB - negative fluorescence.
- I was expecting particular nursing.

~~fb. said the code.~~

I knew about SD from Royal Marsden.

C - why not from Rutherford?

Because if you give someone a false name you don't know who it is.

GM - Even Mr I said I would prefer her to go back to H.H. unless she is so close to death she is likely to die in ambulance @ for PB

- As far as I recall necessarily one in one during 2-4 days I would think we must have been doing nothing? ★

- There is a funny noise when people die or are about to die. I said to sister "As is it".

- sister called daughter & they laid her out.

- IN MORTUARY - opened a large fridge - bodies on many shelves.
- bodies lying on each other.
- it was packed from bottom to top.

## Death Certificate?

- I did not know about it.
- only when we got reply back from Mr BULLETT. Then he could make arrangements for funeral.
- I said it was fiction. He said it was something else.
- LI - Death cert wasn't it. - just bronchopneumonia. (bp).
- p-ally - no w at ..
- should have been in last.
- then hip joint bp, last
- Asked why didn't he query it.

7c. How would you describe the body of literature & → context book is literature.  
The author said it was all a matter of context.

- P said it was in April '99
- DCI Bert - said I've been involved about 10 years now
- GM asked to see in Lopez
- said it was young back to (PS)
- both early retirement in January.

- GM said you're not even medical file
- Police said NCTA.
- Cop said a high back especially in make statements.
- I thought - you don't go to GMC w/ a crime - for go to police.
- I thought know you - crime in your statement you gave.

- I thought if was a out-of case.
- we weren't taking it seriously.
- GM. told LC - not to make statement on TV.

LC - ~~the~~ <sup>was</sup> ~~found~~ / format must represent.  
Yes.  
got letters on 2/7/98  
wanted to change on national killing.

GM - If I could have been referred to the coroner.  
- I said LC and project itself.

LC said she did.  
Response - If I heard what you said, she would order a post mortem.  
- LC said she didn't want a post mortem (PM)

9/4/13

By April 01 they were both speaking with my employer.

Mrs. [Name] & family complaints.

=> 1 published complaint against police

- 15 other people approached personally by [Name]

(- report to [Name] claim.

- How did it feel?

- By 02 we had a meeting in [Name] (very private)

- 0011 written in an expert (Mr. [Name])

- by Mr. 02. (will report.

- 10 inputs

- GMC etc.

1315.

LUNGM - cannot discuss to our lunch break.

- 1415

14 21.

Ms. Boyd - No B.

Mr. Green - ~~Mr.~~ GK

GK - early days at [Name] - not sure 11-14<sup>th</sup>.

- Made some contacts in [Name].

- Some strange signs of [Name].

if want strong, meeting or meeting

- Nurse would not be able out.

- No parallels 7-11 @ HH (H)

2 for [Name]

to compare in general, attempts were made to check if

Yes that info meeting consistently different in [Name]

lost dose of ~~paracetamol~~ hydrocodone - 615cm 12/8/98

My mother was not aggressive.

9/7/13

9/4/13

GK both trazodone & meprobamate have side effect.  
↳ stopped at H.

- I am <sup>not</sup> an expert on meprobamate
- I know name about than Dr Banks.
- ~~Dr Banks~~
- all Drs who had care of GK were responsible
- No H were HPA class.
- If she was less distressed, less likely to fall.

GK - why considered to put her back in a 'super' chair.

GK - not for 9/11

- Nurse Brewer examined her - 9/11
- didn't find any abnormality.
- both Dr B & Land were on ward

Dr Dr L (med)  
Dr FB (dentist)

Have you read Prof Ferner's rep.  
Yes.

No indication of delirium or admission to H.

- 17/8/98 - readmitted to G.

- criticism of <sup>MC</sup> Goughman - repositioned GK. 1/2 hr after admitted.
- when we got there she was being fed

- when GK arrived on ward MC was on break.  
she came back after break finished.  
1/2 hr later.

- Sister had to instruct her how to place pillow.  
she didn't read discharge letter from H.

Dr MC

- A meal came up which she couldn't swallow?  
she was eating normal food.  
There was nothing wrong with her

6/2 - It was observed something broken. we cut lead on MC tank lead to finish

- 12/2/98 - Desorption pump - started. - SD same on 12

~~It had been started~~  
Desorption injector tube ~~was~~ on 12/8

1 before SB saw her.

1 after



- She had omenop on 12/8.

I don't agree if we only barely enough to reduce her pain.

She was willing to me.

Once she had omenop she called.

- She started in pain

-- Desorption had been written up on 11/8/98.

- She had first omenop at 1300

~~At the time~~ omenop

You don't see some prescriptions after

- An injection of desorption given on 12/8/98.

6/2 - she ready in 50 much pain

- PD has written his initials for 4 doses during the night.

She was not on...

This would cover up ~~parent~~ <sup>desorption</sup> injection

- we were told she was suffering massive hemothorax.

No or of

She was deeply unconscious for 50 or so.

From coming out of X-ray she was again in deep oxygen

11/4/13

SX of LL

9/4/13

Qx. GM - she was unconscious from drugs the day before

- nursing staff gave her care & she was showing signs of pain.

I was with her from SB to her death.

Nurses didn't change

Mr Manley No Q

Mr Tenby No Q.

Mrs O'Brien -

Me -

CR - what was her consciousness on am of 18/8.

She remained unconscious.

Mrs O'Brien LL

Falls in latter part of stay in GH.

I understood nursing staff would need medication to control pain on day to day basis.

I saw the gradual deterioration.

Approved risk of operation.

we waited at the bedside for her to wake up. not 0100.

H - superb.

- she was on a drip

- I was able to feel her & she had a good fluid intake.

out of her ~~mouth~~ - (hair -> comb)

- clothes w/ zipper.

She came off tramadol - what effect?

She had a remarkable recovery.

we were told she'd be 10-12 days recuperation

12/8 - I was shocked I couldn't rock my notes.



1/4/13.

LC - they'd given her something as she was admitted.

Drainage on 12/8.

More pain relief on 11/8. - at 1615.

only hrs after admission

Mr in pain as normal.

POINT 17 LL  
CREANWED?

- Cases of Mrs

- I would have sent her for an x-ray

- Cause of pain not being investigated.

Drainage for pain.

She'd had successful drainage.

I was becoming concerned.

I did discuss it w/ staff - said they'd go away the next day

- around for Cottage Hospital

- when contacted hospital at night.

- 14/8/18 - when to X-ray dept.

- still under drainage.

gone now before she went down.

- let me in with her.

When case was treated she reacted well.

(X - were you concerned she was going to G?)

I was. I said they could only treat her for the infection.

- LC see u

- when you were back to G - did you say to PB that Dr at H said?

- I told her they were going to take her back

- H said 'if she's in pain send her back'.

Dr PB

(- did you challenge that?) - No, I said to them - Dr is right.

It is only in hindsight that I find it was wrong.



9/4/13

LL - It was my major concern that she be pain free.  
- w/ cancer patients can control pain

LL - neck ptosis is a death indication - totally inoperative.  
- she would have been given another chance at recovery.

CX - your concern is rampant at is contributed to her death.

LL - death <sup>DC</sup> cert

-> I gave a response statement to police

- I didn't mean for what I mean now they had a secondary  
drugs prescribed.

- I was not that line ~~was~~ concerned re: DC

- I couldn't believe

XX by me

- No Mammogram.

CX - where was it?

- it was not on surface.

- 'invasive' - PB or SB.

PCY & MDV - No Q.

Green for GCM.

GTX - mobility

- 2 weeks to 2 months is a high level of dependence.

not at 12 days.

- able to use commode.

- I don't recall helping her into commode.

- say little she could do on her own.

[ GCM - no mention of spirit at H not there on day

၅

9/7/13

G<sup>x</sup> - nurse remember - 11<sup>th</sup> she seemed a bit.

- It is feasible.

- RBN could show difference between anxiety & pain.

- Quite possible she needed help in LLE by - more ear lobe in.

- PB told you Med given Oromorph on 11/8.

No information.

- Next afternoon she was in car stable.

- Nurse Josie - took concern seriously.

G<sup>x</sup> prescript<sup>o</sup> chart - page 1 - 11/8 1615

2345

12/8 0615

13/8 2050

} Oromorph

- after the fall.

13/8 - after being very long

Critical at delay in diagnosis.

Bauer says she inspected it.

LL - accepts signs may not be obvious.

- probably could be asked first time round.

- early diagnosis is best for patient.

She was in great pain from X-ray

(Note from Sue - Mr Green is ignoring dealing w/ cause of pain.

- There is a point about renal blood tests

Nothing on that assessment charts to show she was eaten.

I saw injections

& there is nothing on the drugs chart.

We both made separate statements & we both mention it.



9/4/13

Gx - you can't comment on indications a nurse might find.

LL - I don't agree she was unable to swallow on 17/8.

- not able to swallow on 18
- she swallowed fluid from sponges.

CX - how did you put liquid in mouth.

we cleared her mouth

she swallowed it. - like a baby.

Gx - why unconscious from previous doses of Demorph.

- she got down to pain?

7 hr gap between last dose of Demorph & SD being set up

- chart is accurate -

- LL - I agreed to SD as ~~she was always pain~~ <sup>we were told as</sup>

- she was never ~~any~~ in pain on SD.

Some really signs of pain.

LL - what signs

She was unresponsive.

→ Q for Sole

Mr Sweeney ASX

Haloperidol.

- didn't get <sup>as it relieve</sup> it was daily. - 15 yrs ago.

- same prescription p. 112 & 114 66 of Haloperidol

Demorph. - p62 - can be given as required. - 10 mg is 5ml

LL - Dose is 2-5 in 5ml

could it be 2-5 - 5ml? - probably given like this.

- given straight away on day of admission

7/9/17

AJR - p 29 - Not obviously in pain. Also note compatible

- Dose was pretty low based on next visit.

LC - Yet notes give it to the same drug

- If there have been no prescription, or orders?

LC - It was inappropriate. Too wide.

- You start on higher drugs & work up.

Stars  
Farms

LC - one person gave overograph on 14/8 11:50 PB.

~~over~~ Not saying name then on p 12.

- Injection on 17/8. (58 out line)

- How would H know what we need? - PB, letter ~~only~~ only way

What we's given.

p 30

- participant & sent to H.

Star

(X - what H would have known prior to arrival?

Note sent by PB - no change in needs over the address of Overgraph

Discharge letter sent with JB's prescription

H would have had both of those.

Atyom 16 40

Return at 1100.

Zomoran - O Red, Men @ Prof Farm, Men @ Warrs.

Nothing in clinical notes supporting dehydration

opine is distributed in body fluids.

- breakdown products of diam & opiates

9/4/19

### CON w Sw & CM

No evidence usually of Renal.

Any other evidence to justify.

Renal blood tests - If you do blood tests for kidney under clinical density

Sodium	NA+	140 ish
Potassium	K+	3.5-5
Urea		c 9 or less
Creatine		120 ish

Blood tests saying no dehydration

as the fluid depleted for some degree without showing on tests

dehydration - pre-renal failure

Check - clin density test.

- full blood count. Haemoglobin 12-15 ↓ 11 in some people.

If you are in a bad state, you get a pretty

- could be dehydrated or behind on fluids.

Diuretics is excreted really. - if your kidneys are failing you are ~~not~~ likely to not excrete morph → it stays in body & you don't

Can assume a 95 y- old is sensitive to morphine



2/4/13

- ④ rehabilitation v.  
Pall & terminal care
- ⑤ be alert to appearance of a bleb
- ⑥ Haloperidol - used for big doses in psychosis  
- is likely to breast agitation
- ⑦ - can't rely on system working with nurses do not use system  
Systemic sup-optimal prescriptions in case of death.

SX of Post Fever.

- pos in no letter.
- possible con.
- as low risk.

pts from JW.

hopes to confirm death.

serotype & response. - can assume 91 yr old is sensitive to response.

Oral Moxifloxacin. acceptable for PC.

More to discuss - please.

Pre-ops work.

- (Haematomas. - response. expect to see in sero (by) time)
- dementia. - working in low odds?/miss?

decision in relation to PC - no reason for decision  
- haematomas.

PRN - sub optimal.

Renal tests - show dehydration or above & at?

INQUEST DAY 2

10/4/13

XX of Dr Rud.

R-56 - stopped her Trazodone as she felt she was not getting it.

Ms W she was suffering



INTERSECTIONS - if they took place & weren't noted.

would that be appropriate.

It ~~written up~~ <sup>given</sup> without ~~the~~ <sup>subsequent</sup> informing 30 - would that be ~~replied~~ <sup>replied?</sup>

PL - No reason given for move to PL.

- No reason for SC description page 100

No reason for ↑ production for SC description.



10/4/98

## XX at Prof Ferner

- ☆ GK - sensitive to morphine - assume in 91 yr old  
- then in GR.

~~☆ GK - sensitive to morphine - assume in 91 yr old~~

Q to JB

☆ ~~to see if we suffering pain on first discharge from Htt.~~

- ☆ 11/8/98 - Agree - /port block - no justification for prescribing both low dose oral morphine & high dose diamorphine.

- ☆ Note - I am happy for nursing staff to capture death.  
- how would you describe such a comment?  
- expect it to be near death!  
- if assumption that was near death, might have been proven wrong by successful operation.

☆ ~~30's note - GK - unconscious and unresponsive to 200mg (2nd)~~

- ☆ Agree prescribing 'PRN' was sub optimal? - why? sup - para 12

Agree prescribing a controlled drug without clinical indication is negligent  
sup para 13

Agree nurse to PC without clinical indication is negligent!

- how would it hit someone.
- Haematoma - any evidence?  
- see it on skin? - swelling bruising.  
- if it was massive?

- ☆ With no reason for PC would withdrawing nurse if I suppose  
be neglectful?  
Leads by death

Dehydration?

BNF?

Recall tests?

10/6/18

XX 11 at Prof F

- Significance of surrounding expect to note the effect something after?

Once on Benzophenone - expect re-assessment?

- How is this?
- Rader w/ SD?
- none of the ladder better.

But rate can be adjusted easily - PB RPU p. 25/39.

Dosage - BNF guidance at time.  
- none

- How <sup>fine</sup> ~~slow~~ is the line between dose for pain relief } Benzophenone  
- harm } & morphine.



- Is it too late to say that giving patient with high dose of that creates effects later noted upon as proof she is dying?
- altered respiration
- deduced / unresponsive
- not eating,

SUBCUTANEOUS - build up.

Dehydration creates a greater concentration.

Dr Barton's statement as => see other sheet.

18/8/98 - 'please keep comfortable' - administer PC - work exactly same as 11/8/98

~~with benzophenone~~

Dr Lord accepted there were periods of sleepiness <sup>could be due to</sup> - could there be caused by Trazodone?  
dementia v. doxapram.

10/4/13

## INQUEST DAY 2

Cx of Dr REID

C - refers to letter

R - After someone has a hip or other surgery it's normal practice to ask  
- I understand from her notes that had happened.

C - admission of Tazodolone - clinical decline or simply very dangerous described her?

- given her age &amp; is reversible?

C - what were her chances of full recovery?

R - In general terms dementia

- percentage decreases with subsequent fall

- falls are a marker of dementia.

SMK - did not think she was dying. Not at that time.

AJX - We know Dr B was at GWMH.

- for 10 yrs.

R - <sup>DW</sup> Naedalus - more for rehabilitation. Original - clinical care.

- Dr B pft - 1 hr/day.

R - I started at G in '99

Consultant do ward round once a week in 3B very short one.

↳ for DW was Lord.

- 3B - after lone in twice a day - 11 per own time.

- arduous in duties

- responsible for > 40 beds.  $\approx$  1m / patient.

- in normal hospital - patients on 3B were to do with her time.

- expect better notekeeping if Dr is stretched.

- ordinary hospital

- unsupervised prescribing is common at G &amp; QA.

Q for JB

AJ - if there was unsupervised prescribing nursing staff would speak to Dr.



10/1/13

Dr. Gesso

AIX - participating prescribing

- practice that was followed at GWM.
- consultant would be well aware that was provided for every patient
- no concerns raised re: No form or prescribing



Letter from Dr. Gantley 6/7/98

- severe dementia - ever strong illness.
- in stage dementia - (had awareness of surroundings).
- awareness of surroundings etc.

- some people like mobility. End up bed bound.

- If people have a fall that can often be pre-vented or terminal event.
- broader pneumonia - due to being bed bound.
- many cases for 80-90 yo patients. Depressingly low.

- Is it difficult to decide to not bring back to hospital.

programs being poor, not interventions you have. -> changes of symptoms.

Mr. Hurdley X (IX)

KX - p62 - Dementia - 7-18/8/98

- timing of dementia over c. 15 yrs.

SC dx - BNF

- generally on a dx is to reduce dose to 1/3 previous

orally  
→ 45mg/15h = 24mg/24h

3B 18/3/18 - SC dx - please note computer.

After dementia was administered 3B was still in great pain  
Being - is actually appropriate & reasonable.

p22-76 : Interest 2.

10/14/13

DAY 2

FX - if it's in the light or inappropriate?

R-increase is unlikely to be responsible for the demand.

extremely unlikely.

LCX - Dr. Reid - on 18/8/98 SE did not see ~~the~~

if all my questions would you be surprised.

- it's more we getting enough if would not be appropriate to

admission now.

- I am aware of Dr. Burford's GMC result.

- GMC is a judgment of another tribunal

1152 Beam 1210

LCX - selection record.

many have had an effect on ability to drink.

- do you agree Gf returns a further note until 50

- from difference between sleep or medication ✓

- description must mean 'no distraction' sign-lex did not make sense.

- ~~textbook is not the way to~~

→ no distraction.

AJX - 18 yrs on a note, 5 on a ticket.

- only a Dr. Reid for a small part of every day.

- last was consultant.

- need to be in a regular contact w/ SB.

- have maximum each morning.

The 1st to be practice by 0900.

if patients complained in SB would come back to assess them.

- your writing policy.

10/4/13

## Prof PARNER

CX - note is slow year apart.

- Intro letter is read, - Start para 7.

- Conclusion of original review - including GR

- Matrix for each case.

optimal

sub-optimal

negligent

inadequate to cause harm.

Form referred to GR - sub-optimal.

high risk

↳ best view

- Mrs when was changed?

Finding - original inquiry at Harp visit - looking for clear ev. or sigl

- looking for it BRD.

- can't be done in GR's case.

This report was not for criminal prosecution.

- Can you read her medical history out.

- Metoprolol & Thiazidazine explained. [para 22.]

- para 45 - kidney function tests - w/in normal range.

- conducted at time of first admission

Can't find any for second admission.

42 - Metoprolol - Long by 1/2.

COMMENTS

- read.

CX - concerns relate to commencement of SC pain relief on 18/3/98

F - doesn't want to give impression that starting PC inevitable because dense & patients. It may do & in GR's case, it did.

- what happened from 18/3 was an additional factor against GR.

Yes - D. R. said talks go w/ family. Her father was often frail. People who talk are frail enough that they die.

(X of Prot F

- would SD have monitored end from 18/8/98.

I think it did. on B.P.

⇒ 'likely' (B.P.)

- 'sub-optimal' - I fear 30 Doses. I have a clear idea of what intention/purpose of a prescrip<sup>n</sup> is.

- clear, unambiguous & precise.

- certainly not on DW.

- In what circumstances does one give diamorphine or diam by sq

get from diamorphine small doses

Diamorphine range is 20mg - 200mg

4/24mg is appropriate

200mg - is a fatal dose.

Would passing lead to sub-optimal prescribing.

Not for me to say

Can't tell my on 11/8/98 to make aspirational prescribing

Supplementary report?

- Agree largely w/ prot B.

Dose of diamorphine.

BNF 97 - 2 entries for morphine & diamorphine.

5 & 7 0198

30 & 60 1.

BNF 57 (today) - PC

30mg diamorphine/dose =

3:1.

BNF 97 - PC table was of 2

Major disagreement (resolved)

CX Prof F - 6 days + any anything about

1308 LUNGM 1500

GX - effect & side effects of epineph

- I'm not sure

In clinical practice people present with opiate withdrawal & breathing difficulty

Some people have pain controlled by dose with a patch

Some get some or both before patch is control

- common nursing experience

- people receiving pain relief just after?

(20)

Does it explain absence or presence of respiratory depression?

- relationship between how much you give & what happens in an individual

- not want not necessarily avoid RD or Xanax (S\*)

Some people must get pain relief regardless of RD or S\*

- would it have been appropriate to leave her in pain?

One does not want to leave her in pain but not give her so much

opiate

SD - BNF on SD

BNF sep of 7 p. 14. - product is unable to take into account by mouth. etc.

GX - nursing or other records not detailed.

- from taking water you not expect severe weakness would appear

Fluid charts from H show Gx very mild, respiratory accounts at

fluid.

She is postoperative when coming down H.

later in severe pain.

- thought of salt is that she could not swallow.

- p 47 - she is pain free

GX says she is in pain when getting out.

10/4/13

6x - no more diamorphine plan as necessary to relieve her pain

- during P investigation - couldn't see non-natural death

- Is this just based on absence of records?

- drawing conclusion from absence of records?

- It if had been decided & documented that time for PC

but this ~~is~~ is a warning sign for retreat?

(X - PC in itself can cause death?

Yes in patients at end of life & in great pain.

This is the doctrine of dual effect

- It caused her death. But if it was proper PC it would be proper.

ATX - Do you treat patients like BR?

I did for >30 yrs.

Have you recently returned on nursing shift to full practice?

Jr. Drs would miss

- Dual effect - patients may experience a level of pain.

- correct in context of PC not acute general medicine.

- Tolerance - opiates - can have side effects.

- we build a tolerance. - Yes over time.

- > pain - less likely RD.

- if there is RD will that lead to serious consequences for patients.

- Person overdose - respiratory death is slow period of time.

- Intravenous inject<sup>o</sup> different to skt

sc - liquid builds up under the skin.

- takes hours to develop more serious state 3-6 hrs. (← 48 hrs)

- Leony is a high dose.

6

10/4/13

AJX - if 2 morphine injections given.

- if dose is known. can estimate GR's response.

- ~~to any extent~~ -

- if ~~if~~ ~~right~~ ~~could~~ ~~not~~ ~~rule~~ 4.0mg or less might do it.

- nurse required to raise concerns.

- opinion dose sought in '03 etc.

Meeting on 10/10/04

CX - How she could survive for 4 days

It comes to this range of effects.

If you ~~give~~ are in a similar prolonged unconscious

could have many or for 4 days.

Because she didn't die sooner than she did. if that's true

CX of Mr WARREN.

Leading ambulance man - like a supervisor.

Didn't use patch slide in those days.

HHT could have a patch slide - military hospitals were advised from NHS

It was the me & partner more per at small hospitals like Colw.

problems would be recorded - eg belly in a bit of pain.

No SMX

LOBX - ambulance crew <sup>later</sup> ~~commented~~ she was showing signs of pain.

I can't remember

- We use canvas. Not a sheet.

we may have used a sheet & canvas. Not only on a sheet.

=> ~~horse~~ ~~at~~ ~~Colw~~

Colw. would have been pain & signs

pain was from ambulance.



10/4/19

LOBX of W- we have names noted past on our worksheet.

Gyx - 2 Naloxone case documents at GW

Buller & Jean

Hindman to nurse.

Car

Rule 37 evidence.

Lead { Dr. ~~LODD~~ Taken as lead. (sic) (sic)  
 Summary is lead.  
 EDMUNDSON

Mr K not to attend any more - make a copy of contract.

1610. Adjourn to 1000 hours.

11/4/13

INVEST DAY 3

~~DR of PG~~

Dr. Chart - 11/8/98

Demographic - mother of

- not used when she was back to HN.

Falls - more to "sister" seat

17/8/98

TRANSFER

Roller

over one new top.

Sheet pulled out from under her.

pat in right position

INSTRUCTIONS

Philip

BEED - comes in with an injector.

- Told by GM to go out & get a better pump roller.

- Inject her in front of LL & GM.

next hr 5B - 1530 5B copies to X-ray.

- Inject her with a booster.

Mrs Richards still talking to GM.

Come out of X-ray in conscious.

11/4/13

# Cx of PWS/BP BEEP

No doctors permanently at GWR.

Met to discuss medical issues

JB made decisions on treatment

We told JB patients correct info.

discussed by nursing/medical staff. - Included other staff

All patients happy usually Pat & Mv.

Usually rushed a few days before.

Dis patients always see up to discharge letter?

often patients were not as well as we expected.

Was on duty on 11/8/98

GR admitted by other nurse. - Her doctors general admission.

PB - can't really remember

- GR admitted to single room for MRSA. & family asked for her to be close to nursing station.

- she was confused

(- Did she read letter? - She was as expected

she was moaning - seemed to want something but we couldn't tell what.

What did you make her discuss with?

she had come from a nursing home where she had many falls.

I thought it was going to be a longer period of rehabilitation.

- Did you make her sign etc?

Not that she might die but rehab would be hard.

- Didn't see possibility she'd die at that time.

I thought we would continue w/ rehab plan.

goal was to get her mobile.

Tried to transfer her w/ Zimmer frame.

lower steps of mobility ladder.

Difficult <sup>fully independent</sup> <sub>to fully dependent.</sub>

2,3 - on arrival

plus - assessment.



## Cx of PB

### Oromorpha

medication for administ<sup>r</sup> by SD

Praying for nurses to certify death.

- not uncommon for SD to cover all possibilities
  - not expecting her to die. but it was recorded in patient's notes.
  - administer within parameters set by SD
- lot of discrep<sup>o</sup>

11/8/98 - pain relief - I recall oromorph later that day.

Saw a lady who - could be seen to be in pain & unsettled.  
made notes that she wasn't comfortable.

making visits Sunday like she was in pain.

Had a pained expression on her face.

No great success communicating with her.

How did you assess situation of her being in pain.

LL was present on the day she was admitted.

FALL - I was not on duty when she fell. I was off.

- Found out when came back on duty.

- Nurses contacted the duty doctor.

I saw her when I was on duty.

I took a look at her.

Is it normal procedure that if patient has a fall.....

Normal to transfer patient straight away - eg fractured leg.

to the next table you could leave it to next day.

Nurse thought it was dislocated.

I can't remember a x-ray dislocated hip to compare it to.

Nurses conf<sup>o</sup> do the x-ray Dr's say so,

Cx of PB

Expired what happened & that he could be x-rayed.

Oromax for pain

↳ generally pain to lady.

but we need to give additional dose.

effects coming off - noisy.

- when he was quiet we assumed the worst for pain

Radiologist reported pain.

17/8/99. case on duty @ ~~5:45~~ 12:15

- when I saw Mr.

I remember he was in pain.

I can't remember without looking at the notes.

I did look at records.

↳ don't refresh memory at all.

X-ray reviewed by a colleague of IB later in day.

Cx - what impression did you get of Mr.

- she was generally less responsive.

where we communicated

- more noise than previously.

- noise when we cared for her.

Discussion ~ daughter re: pain.

Daughter - asked for to be pain free

- agreed to control pain as best as possible.

- didn't discuss options - we were using Oromax.

- no mention of other medications or escalator?

Difficulties with swallowing.

had been eating with difficulty.

reluctance of Mrs R to take things by route.

Cx + PB

Decision to move to SD.

SB decided GR was deteriorating

Input from nurses.

SB decides SD. SB alone.

I didn't think it was inappropriate.

GR's pain wasn't in control.

difficulty getting her to swallow.

Thought she wouldn't survive.

Thought terminal care.

Didn't discuss in any great depth.

Dose range prescribed

dosage decided by 2 qualified nurses.

She was put on SD on morning of 18

- seemed to control her pain.

- It became possible to provide nursing care.

- no more restraints.

- practice for PC patients.

On SD - no further manipulation of pain.

- she would die on SD.

- we didn't know how long she would last.

Very in constant communication w/ family re: care.

Discussed likely death was expected

I would say we don't know.

C - she didn't die for 4 days.

longer than average.

I've seen people for stroke to need longer time on SD. - up to weeks.

- chest infection almost inevitable?

- SD generally leads to chest infections

11/4/93

Ch of PB

- can also be a 'rational default'
- In patient does develop (deep infection or a SD).

LLx ~~log~~ of PB

Do you remember 17/8/98

- She arrived back & was in extreme pain.
- relieving pain was important. could have happened.
- (- ~~it is possible~~ I don't remember your story.
- just nothing about an injection.
- don't remember - offered diagnostic injection
- being told MH would take her back.
- SB's reply.
- if I was told GR would be given analgesia.

remember administering SD.

- offering her something to stop pain.

You returned & gave an intramuscular inj<sup>n</sup>. in the

I don't remember

if I gave an inj<sup>n</sup> would be on chart.Nursing notes & drug records ~~are~~ <sup>were</sup> ~~not~~ criticised.

LLx - SD drugs written up on separate sheet.

- gave second injection

- PB remember talking to you &amp; trying to look after GR.

- You have always you could come back

- would require a controlled prescription

- I recall speaking to you on 11/8/98

LL - Mother has no discomfort at all.

- 1/2 said she was appalled by the discomfort.

BREAST.

AJX II (Euler noted previous)

would discuss concerns w/ JB.

Could be 23 hrs without Dr.

would go home JB? - he needed medical advice

Anticipatory prescribing - to deal w/ pain or nausea.

- Dr Lord never raised any concerns with it.

- concerns not raised with me.

patients weren't always in control you might have hoped plan to be.

Seriously under declared on DW.

JB & PB worked hard to try to provide information we could.

Diff daughters have different opinions about treatment.  
Dr KM

Harry a P/T doctor made a huge difference.

★ JB - notes not obviously in para p 29

- must mean at that point in time.

Nurses didn't give it every 4 hrs.

- we exercise their judgment.

Many patients don't w/o SD being used.

Some patients would rather - esp w/ SD

not terribly usual. but can happen





11/6/13

On 11/6 SD included a letter we pressing correct side's pin

That the been pushing in which have now been slowly

Started in bottom of range. To find another up

Is's decision & the waste present.

It was discussed.

I considered it in appropriate depth & means.

Mr. Curran re stopping levels on 11/6? - we are at long intervals

Can you find in field all your preliminary observations.

No report received from 11/6 on west.

- Days for my problem

- Halopridol

Wants my problem affect dose of my problem given

- More notes completed. Borel score - 40

- Monthly visit in health previous date

1386 L VNCM 1705

There were concerns regarding Osmorph.

12/8/78. Research group. Certain days.

advise dose to try to control pin info. setting her

Describe system for adding history. Controlled drug.

If each body case present, on sport. primarily.

No discrepancy. is controlled drug eq. 1/2.

Discrepancy present. SC by SD

What's difference in effect?

Q ranged about a record of years.

1/6 - no discrepancy.

11/6/13

Gx - Pain level was increasing  $\rightarrow$   $\uparrow$  analgesia.

CX - In relation to decision of 18  $\rightarrow$  SD.

- LL & G<sub>2</sub> ask what decision was based on.
- Dr B - decided

I reported on how she had been doing however  
impression on her was somewhat less & working  
sufficiently well.

- Did look at patient at that stage.

Hypothetical - what would you have advised?

I would say SD was appropriate or review later.

would have wanted medical assessment & medical support.

I would not have taken decision on my own.

All things considered, do you think it was right one?

Yes.

If you didn't have to refer it, you would have done it.

I personally would have held on a bit longer.

would want to wait to see effect of last dose at 19:30

Didn't raise concern at that time.

Gx - Rx - to what extent does experience equip you to decide on giving meds.

can you PRN meds etc.

Gx - RR<sup>2</sup> - x-ray at 15:45.

- can't give analgesia if patient is unconscious.

She did experience pain on movement.

11/6/83

Cx - Mrs O'BRIEN

- re - Sworn.
- when did you apply stimuli
- she was unconscious.
  - I know difference between sleeping & unconscious
  - you are rock a sleeper.

Assessment on Monday at 18/8.

- I wanted to see what she was like.
- press right side of sleeping soundly
- I tried talking to her, touching her face.
- No reaction.
- Due to see Bell & Barton

18/8 - completely unconscious. If someone had her face they need sharp  
 indicate

No reaction

She was sleeping deeply

No ~~stop~~ PD SWORNMENT - 1500.

Gx - putting pressure on eyeballs - a snapshot at a particular time.

- on 19/8 - someone entered room.
- Nobody came into the room, other than to reload SD
- I offered my mother drink.

PB said he was going to give demonstration.

Mrs O'Brien saw some x-ray documents.

JB came in at 1530.

PB gave her a basket in my  
 rather was written up.

Mother was still willing to see.

LL next allowed Mr X-ray

GR next in x-ray conscious.

It was not screaming, it was weeping.

Then sudden silence.

She came out of x-ray, deeply shocked due to 2 x Demerol injections.

Dr. Petley saw x-ray.

went back to mother's room.

JB last words.

No omenograph @ 0830.

- seemed to be unconscious.

Didn't come round.

GRX - no xx on injections, already xxed.

- after x-ray - @ 1545 ✓

- omenograph I am not sure that from 1030 on she had some omenograph.

- I was not there at 0030 or 0430.

- Your evidence is there are hole entries on nursing chart.

She was still not for the count.

You would see those signatures PB x 3 - that was a cover-up.

That is what I think.

we need a graphologist.

AIx - NoRX.

LLx - para 6 2000 hrs.

Mr. Beed - did give her omenograph at 2030.

- links with prescription record.

Cost of PB - haven't done yet but been sent

- Do you recall advertising Newspaper? No.

It didn't happen on Jan 15 in reader

1520 - Ad below h banner - 10

12/4/13

~~Location~~ SOLE -

Medication given - at 5P at 0800

SD in sunny out.

CS - Mrs GOODMAN

Quantities

on DW after delivery and adjusted.

There are notes included by you - did you find w/ or on other occasions.

No.

Did you work for at the time. Yes.

Originally you were probably advocate.

It was obvious she went in rt position in her

positioned her & not to walk up her notes.

I order can expect to take her to toilet & when leave.

Diapers changed.

She was screaming & crying.

Daughter appeared to be small about 20 cm long.

It surprised me that she was so poorly.

I had your name after the X-ray.

I heard later of the examination.

I met her about a 1/2 day - until 1930.

Cx or MC

Next on duty? - PB takes over.

Only other reference - 20/8 - checked the driver.

Not consulted in GR's case.

On duty on 18/8 - did you know she was on SD.

I can't recall.

- I remember talking to her relatives.

3 of us went to a meeting w/ GR.

- Discussions in hospital?

↳

I remember giving GR oral care. - sponge on the ear - Nap in work.

She was awake before going on SD.

I tried to talk to her on morning of 18/8.

I can't remember Monday

I remember her showing pain when she was moved.

Clot was ratty - on 19/8 - 8:48 - after SD.

- common for patients on SD.

She died w/ Night staff.

SGMX - Notes inserted

could have swallowed.

No splint, no wedge etc.

★ LLX - I didn't think she was dying at time of SD.

- SD would create constant relief.

- It is possible to die on a SD.

- 2mg of Midazolam - enough for her to be opened

12/4/13

AGX - looks at pp 45-66

GRX - attended to her on 17/8/98.

- after that we both visited w/family

CX of Christine Joyce

Did not think need to do w/ BR.

why then you signed records? other occasions, then on care shift

Involved in discussions re her medication/care? Not really, no.

I would have been responsible for caring for her.

CX - first entry  
17 p. 63

except's to prescribed orders.

I didn't give meds on 12/8 as she was drugged.

- After second admission.

- other staff nurse said she'd a fall. I didn't look at her.  
others were dealing with it.

Return from RN Naylor - patient v. distressed.

p. 45

I felt it was important to record her distress. But I didn't intervene.

Next entry - not lined -

After 17/8/98 - were you aware about what was happening w/ BR.

I would have been aware she was on SD. - was it a surprise? I knew she was in a lot of pain.

18/8/98 - recorded response @ 2000 showing signs of pain.

↳ entry at 2000 - couldn't any have been earlier.

- knew then she was on SD



Cx of LG

Did you think he would die?

I thought it was to pass relief first off.

I can't say when her condition changed.

Next entry is on 2/8/00

small cond<sup>n</sup> deteriorating

chest infection - I can't remember.

pB3 - 18/8 news still on previous day

LLX - ~~At~~ I decided not to give meds in case that was what was making her dizzy.

ATX - Oromorph written up as required.

- decided it was not required.

- Hygiene given to dry secretions

ORAL FU completed.

10 minke plate. → 1155

Read Nurse BREWER.



9/4/13	RICHARDS	PORTSMOUTH	GUILDHALL	INQUEST DAY 1
10/4/13	RICHARDS	"	"	INQUEST DAY 2
11/4/13	RICHARDS	"	"	INQUEST DAY 3
12/4/13	RICHARDS	"	"	INQUEST DAY 4

Ref. 419



made with care in the UK  
from sustainable sources

