

11/27/2015

Viewing Attachments for Case: 006562-290110



You are here: [Cases](#) [Viewing Case: 006562-290110](#) [View Case Attachments](#)

Registrant: BARTON, Jane

Email received: 01/02/2010 11:20:55

Sent From: [redacted] Code A

Subject: 100201 Acknowl to Mr Stewart-Farthing

From: Briony Mills

Sent: 01 February 2010 11:12

To: [redacted] Code A

Subject: FW: Dr Barton (re. Arthur Denis Brian Cunningham)

Dear Mr Stewart-Farthing

Thank you for your email. I can confirm that we will be considering the decision of the GMC's Fitness to Practise Panel of 29th January 2010 in the case of Dr Jane Barton under our standard procedures under Section 29 of the National Health Service Reform and Health Care Professions Act 2002. Any appeal by CHRE to the High Court in this case would have to be lodged by 1 April 2010.

If you have any further information you would like us to take into consideration when reviewing this decision, please forward this to me as soon as possible.

We will let you know the outcome of our consideration.

Yours sincerely

Briony Mills

Senior Scrutiny Officer

Council for Healthcare Regulatory Excellence

11 Strand

London WC2N 5HR

www.chre.org.uk

Switchboard: 020 7389 8030

Direct Line: [redacted] Code A

Fax: 020 7389 8040

From: [redacted] Code A

Sent: 30 January 2010 05:16

To: Emma Kelly-Dempster

Subject: Dr Barton (re. Arthur Denis Brian Cunningham)

Dear Madam,

I am currently overseas and grateful to the GMC for keeping me informed

11/27/2015

Viewing Attachments for Case: 006562-290110

by email about Dr Barton.

As a professional myself, I find it totally incomprehensible that the General Medical Council could possibly allow one of its members who is guilty of Serious Professional Misconduct to remain on its professional register. What sort of example does that give to the profession?

The allegations of nepotism regarding her brother being a member of the GMC, to be found on the internet, would appear to have foundation, so what is going on?

My reading of the panel's determination indicates that Barton has escaped being struck-off due mainly to the numerous letters of support that have been gathered in recent weeks. How can that have any possible bearing on her psychopathic behaviour in the 1990s, and begs the question as to why the GMC has waited this long before carrying out the investigation now completed. Also, was the hearing now completed interrupted for three months in order to allow time for the gathering of these letters? To have 'run out of time' sounds less than convincing by an measure.

I have thought for a long time that the events surrounding Barton have been covered up for political reasons as evidenced, among other things, by the Chief Medical Officer's self-confessed suppression of the Baker Report, and this turn of events does nothing to alleviate that.

As a representative of one of the families directly affected by all this, I am totally disgusted and dissatisfied by the failure to strike this doctor off the register, and strongly urge the powers that be to review that misguided decision, as the gap between the law in this country and justice grows ever wider.

I look forward to hearing further and would appreciate emailed correspondence, please.

Kind regards,

Charles Stewart-Farthing

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

This e-mail and files transmitted with it are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any reading, printing, storage, disclosure, copying or any other action taken in respect of this e-mail is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by using the reply function and then permanently delete what you have received.

The opinions & information contained within this e-mail, which do not relate to the business, shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by the senders company - CHRE. All reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure that this e-mail is virus free. As we accept no responsibility for loss or damage arising from the use of this e-mail or attachments, we recommend that you subject these to your own virus checking procedures prior to use.

Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with the CHRE policy on the use of electronic communications.